IFTA CAC MEETING –September 16, 2010

<u>PARTICIPANTS:</u> Garry Hinkley, Pat Platt, Jason DeGraf, Gary Frohlick, Randy Boone, Bill Kron, Scott Miller, Cherie Woodworth, Trishawn Bell, Bob VanBuren, Debra Stuart, Kim Knox-Lawrence, Nikki Bachelder

<u>LAST CONFERENCE CALL</u> – August 19, 2010.

<u>AUGUST MINUTES</u> – August CAC minutes were accepted without any changes.

ITAC UPDATE – Scott M. reported that he was on vacation and was not able to attend the conference call. He received and distributed the meeting minutes to the CAC participants. Scott M. read through the meeting minutes bulleted items. A summary of the GPS project/report was presented and Scott M. also provided a copy to the CAC. The GPS and interface must document actual travel and substantiate the total in-jurisdictional distance traveled per unit per month. It is important that the recommendations are implemented, especially in the IFTA/IRP agreements. The systems won't be able to be certified because data is used by licensees in various ways. Scott M. explained that the issue isn't with the technology itself, it's with how the technology is applied. The report will be submitted to the IFTA Board. The earliest the GPS systems will be used for reporting is in 2012. The next ITAC will be October 14, 2010.

QUALITY CONTROL PLAN— Jason followed up from last month's discussion about account numbers. Some jurisdictions have short numbers. Maybe it's because of a data entry error. Jason wasn't sure if that is the case. Jason said that one jurisdiction passed (Idaho). It's a small amount of accounts that have short numbers. The program compliance review will pick up on the ones that are short. Jurisdiction audit report numbers aren't even correct. Jason wants to talk with Pat about it. The administrative people enter the information in for the auditors. In some cases, jurisdictions are putting their own internal numbers. The internal numbers probably match the transmittals and inter-jurisdictional audit reports.

Garry H. said that the DOT number issue is only going to get worse. We will see licenses without DOT numbers in the future. This is another issue topic for other meetings. Maine requires a DOT number to set up fuel accounts. Bill and Garry were on a PRISM conference call about the issue yesterday. The PRISM people aren't listening and it will hurt CVIEW. Records can be sent without DOT numbers but will have to be searched by name or EIN. Records won't go into SAFER. This will result in licensees being pulled over and talked to in person. It may work if all parties work together to come up with another id number by jurisdiction. Garry suggested having an intrastate DOT number and flag it in the system as interstate so they continue to have a DOT number. If the customer already has a DOT number, it will stay in the system. It will only affect new ones coming in. The DOT number won't change unless the customer goes from registrant to carrier. Maine's Vehicle Services system requires a DOT number.

Bill reported that ACS will be cleared up soon. Jason has yet to hear from ACS. Bill will follow up with ACS. The deadline for this cleanup is approx. 6 months.

<u>FUNDS NETTING UPDATE</u> – Jason reported that about 40 million went through the account this last time. There were two prorates. Jason suggested dropping prorates. He said all money was in the day after the first prorate. The group understands Jason's frustration. The second prorate still has to be done even if all the money is there. The group discussed perhaps extending the date and having one date that all jurisdictions must have funds in by. If a jurisdiction doesn't fund, they would have to write checks. It was decided that this will be revisited after there is one year of data to look at, since Funds Netting has only happened eight times.

SAS Audit- Debbie reported that Lonette conducted a survey and had about 30 jurisdictions respond. The majority surveyed prefers to have a type 2 audit with one year worth of data. Some said maybe a type 2 audit one year and then a type 1 the next year. Most all surveyed said once a year. The Board will discuss this at the fourth quarter board meeting in October.

<u>NEW BUSINESS</u> – Garry H. asked if we need to continue meeting monthly. Perhaps we can meet bi-monthly or every other month. Debbie M. didn't think that it's in the Charter that the committee is required to meet every month but will verify. This is something for the committee to think about.

Garry H. said he has been reelected to the board and would need to come off the committee next year. He asked committee members to think about if they wish to step up and be the next chair.

Another thing to think about is if committee members should get together in person after having a years worth of Funds Netting data collected. The meeting would be during a time that most of the members would be together for another meeting (perhaps at the Manager's meeting). A separate meeting won't be scheduled due to cost.

<u>NEXT CONFERENCE CALL</u> – Next call will be November 18, 2010 at 11:00 a.m. EST. There will not be an October meeting.